OREGON HOUSING & COMMUNITY SERVICES Multifamily Energy Program

ENERGY MODELING BEST PRACTICES

Date: August 23, 2018

Presenters: Mekha Abraham





USING GOTOWEBINAR

Open and close your **control** panel

Questions will be taken at the end of the presentation.







REGISTER FOR UPCOMING TRAININGS

- Thursday, Sept 13, 2018, 12pm:
 Effective Ventilation Strategies | <u>REGISTER</u>
- Thursday, Sept 27, 2018, 12pm: Planning for Energy Efficiency Upgrades | <u>REGISTER</u>



AGENDA

- Energy Modeling: What? Why? Who? When?
- OR-MEP Modeling Requirements
- Workflow Efficiency: Tips & Tricks
- Model QA: Troubleshooting & Interpreting Model Results





ENERGY MODELING BASICS

WHAT?	Computer simulation models of building systems to estimate energy savings and explore energy efficient design options.
WHY?	A design tool to optimize energy savings and cost effectiveness. Used for code compliance, certification programs, tax credits, and incentive programs.
WHO?	Architects, HVAC engineers, energy consultants, etc.
WHEN?	Start energy modeling as early as possible! Refine as the design progresses (integrated design).





MODELING PROCESS







OR-MEP & ENERGY MODELING

- Program Pathways
- Modeling Requirements
- Technical Review Process





PROGRAM PATHWAYS

- Existing multifamily and new multifamily both have three paths, suited for varying scopes of work
- Incentives escalate to reward more comprehensive design strategies







WHOLE BUILDING PATH

EXISTING BUILDING

- Three incentive tiers based on percent savings over <u>existing conditions</u>
- Requires whole building audit and energy modeling

NEW CONSTRUCTION

- Three incentive tiers based on percent savings over <u>code baseline</u>
- Requires energy modeling

Tier	Savings Threshold	Incentive
Tier 1	≥ 20% kWh savings compared to existing conditions (EB) or code baseline (NC)	\$0.80 / kWh saved
Tier 2	≥ 25% kWh savings compared to existing conditions (EB) or code baseline (NC)	\$0.90 / kWh saved
Tier 3	≥ 30% kWh savings compared to existing conditions (EB) or code baseline (NC)	\$1.00 / kWh saved





MODELING REQUIREMENTS

EXISTING BUILDING

- Requires whole building audit and energy modeling
- Baseline: existing conditions

NEW CONSTRUCTION

Requires energy modeling

Baseline: code

- Low-Rise (3 stories or less) follow Oregon Residential Specialty Code
- Mid- and High-Rise (4+ stories) follow Oregon Energy Efficiency Specialty Code

Approved Energy Modeling Tools:



TECHNICAL REVIEW PROCESS







PROGRAM DOCUMENTS ENERGY EFFICIENCY PLAN

WHOLE BUILDING PATH - DETAILED MEASURES TABLE

Instructions

1. Fill out all light purple shaded cells.

2. Enter baseline energy consumption details in cells G8 and H8. This should match the baseline model. The percent electric savings will be calculated based on the baseline annual kWh consumption.

3. In rows 11-21, provide measure level cost and savings details for all measure in the proposed work scope. Savings information should come from model and/or external calculations.

4. Once, all required information is provided eligible program incentives will be summarized starting in row 26.

							_								
	PROJECT TYPE			BASELINE EN	ERGY CONSUMPTION					UTILITY R	ATES				
	New Construction			Baseline Type	Annual kWh	Annual	Therms			\$7kWh	\$ / therm				
				Code Baseline	491,905	6,!	576			\$0.11	\$1.17				
	Measure Name	Estimated Install Cost	Owner or Tenant Savings?	Measure Type	Measure Sub-Type	Estimate Energy	d Annual Savings	Include in Scope?	% Electric Savings	Annual Cost Savings	Measure Life (EUL)	Savings to Investment Ratio (SIR)	Life Cycle Savings	GHG Savings	Site Energy Savings %
PRC	POSED ENERGY SAVINGS MEASURES					kWh	Therm			\$	Years		\$	MTCO2	
1	Wall Insulation (R-30)	\$170,072	Tenant	Envelope	Wall Insulation	31,114	2	Yes	6.3%	\$3,406	45	0.5	\$83,516	4.84	4.6%
2	Windows (U-0.23; SHGC-0.29)	\$20,458	Tenant	Envelope	Windows	22,974	0	Yes	4.7%	\$2,513	45	3.0	\$61,624	3.57	3.4%
3	Door (R-5)	\$3,360	Tenant	Envelope	Other	4,246	0	Yes	0.9%	\$465	45	3.4	\$11,389	0.66	0.6%
4	Attic/Roof Insulation (R-60)	\$3,478	Tenant	Envelope	Attic Insulation	8,946	0	Yes	1.8%	\$979	45	6.9	\$23,996	1.39	1.3%
5	Reduced Infiltration (3.5 ACH50)	\$27,722	Tenant	Envelope	Other	34,496	-2	Yes	7.0%	\$3,772	45	3.3	\$92,473	5.35	5.0%
6	VRF Heat Pumps	\$74,531	Tenant	HVAC	Ductless Heat Pump (mini-sp) 22,974	0	Yes	4.7%	\$2,513	15	0.4	\$30,004	3.57	3.4%
7	Energy Recovery Ventilation	\$105,625	Tenant	HVAC	Other	12,488	0	Yes	2.5%	\$1,366	15	0.2	\$16,309	1.94	1.8%
8	ENERGY STAR Refrigerators	\$10,800	Tenant	Appliance	Refrigerator	5,594	0	Yes	1.1%	\$612	15	0.7	\$7,306	0.87	0.8%
9	ENERGY STAR Dishwashers	\$12,000	Tenant	Appliance	Dishwasher	6,912	148	Yes	1.4%	\$929	11	0.7	\$8,595	1.86	1.6%
10	LED Interior Lighting	\$34,364	Tenant	Lighting	In-Unit Lighting (LED)	58,867	0	Yes	12.0%	\$6,440	20	2.8	\$95,812	9.14	8.6%
11									0.0%	\$0	0	0.0	\$0	0.00	0.0%
12									0.0%	\$0	0	0.0	\$0	0.00	0.0%
13									0.0%	\$0	0	0.0	\$0	0.00	0.0%
14									0.0%	\$0	0	0.0	\$0	0.00	0.0%
15									0.0%	\$0	0	0.0	\$0	0.00	0.0%
	TOTAL	\$462,410				208,611	148		42.4%	\$22,995		0.9	\$431,025	33.18	31.1%

OR-MEP INCENTIVE SUMMARY								
Total kWh Savings	208,611							
Percent kWh Savings	42.4%							
Eligible Incentive Tier	Tier 3							
Total Incentive	\$200,000							

LEV	ERAGED FUNDING SUMMARY	
Total Project Cost		\$462,410
OR-MEP Incentive		\$200,000
Leveraged Funding #1	Energy Trust of Oregon	\$0
Leveraged Funding #2	<name funding="" of="" source=""></name>	\$0
Leveraged Funding #3	<name funding="" of="" source=""></name>	\$0
Leveraged Funding #4	<name funding="" of="" source=""></name>	\$0
Remaining Non-Levera	\$262,410	

SAVINGS AND PAYBACK SUMA	1APV
SAVINGS AND LATBACK SOMM	0.0
Simple Payback to Owner (Pre-Incentives)	0.0
Simple Payback to Owner (After Incentives)	0.0
Percent Reduction in Project Costs	43%
Owner Annual Savings	\$0
Tenant Annual Savings	\$22,995





GOALS OF TECHNICAL REVIEW

- Determine if project is eligible for funding
- Verify estimated modeled savings
- Identify significant issues
- Limit number of revisions
- Standardize the process

Support energy consultants to be model experts.





HOW TO BUILD QUALITY MODELS?

Gain thorough knowledge of your simulation tool. This will take time!

Understand technologies and components being modeled.

Establish internal model QA process. Use estimation techniques to verify results. Allow sufficient time for model validation & troubleshooting.

Submit a quality model for Technical Review.





MODELING TIPS & TRICKS

- Workflow Efficiency
- Model QA





WORKFLOW EFFICIENCY Interactive Measure Runs

Detailed Mode – Parametric Runs (eQuest):

abel: 6

Run Based On:

🗖 Run Based On

Name: Proposed Surfaces_2

Proposed Surfaces_1

Baseline Run

90 Deg Rotation

180 Deg Rotation

Save time modeling various design options.

Proposed Surfaces_1

Grid View

Done



Parametric Run Definitions

Existing Parametric Runs

1 - 90 Deg Rotation

🛅 2 - 180 Deg Rotation

🗉 Parameter #1

🛅 3 - 270 Deg Rotation

Parameter #1

4 - Proposed Surfaces_1
 Proposed Exterior Wall
 6 - Proposed Surfaces_2
 Proposed Windows
 7 - Proposed Surfaces_3
 Proposed Slab Edge
 8 - Proposed Surfaces_4
 Proposed Basement Wall
 9 - Proposed Surfaces 5

💼 10 - Proposed Surfaces_6

E Proposed Roof

Apartment
 13 - Lighting_Common
 Storage

<

🗉 Proposed Adjacent Exterior Wa

E Proposed Roof Lower Level

Create Parametric Run Create Parametric Component Delete Selected Item



WORKFLOW EFFICIENCY Interactive Measure Runs

Verify Measure Model Inputs:

Using the grid view of eQuest Parametric run tool as shown, verify that only the inputs identified in the submittal vary between the Baseline and Proposed model.

2	Parametric Runs	Comparison List	ing			Base dens	line lightir ities (LPD)	_		
	Component	Reference(s)	Keyword	Array Idx	Baseline	FANS - Co	APPL - Refr	APPL - Co	LTG - Com	LTG - Bi-le
	Electric Meter	EM1(ALL)	EXTERIOR	3	0.037	0.018	0.018	0.018	0.018	0.018
	Global Para	Refrigerator	Numeric	N/A	0.333	0.333	0.267	0.267	0.267	0.267
	Global Para	ClothesWas	Numeric	N/A	0.730	0.730	0.730	0.520	0.520	0.520
	Global Para	NONE	Numeric	N/A	0.700	0.700	0.700	0.700	0.200	0.200
	Global Para	LPD_Lobby	Numeric	N/A	1.300	1.300	1.300	1.300	0.140	0.140
	Global Para	LPD_Corridor	Numeric	N/A	0.500	0.500	0.500	0.500	0.330	0.240
	Global Para	LPD_Stairs	Numeric	N/A	0.600	0.600	0.600	0.600	0.080	0.050
	Global Para	LPD_Restro	Numeric	N/A	0.900	0.900	0.900	0.900	1.080	1.080
	Global Para	LPD_Multip	Numeric	N/A	1.300	1.300	1.300	1.300	0.240	0.240
	Global Para	LPD_Mech	Numeric	N/A	1.500	1.500	1.500	1.500	0.090	0.090
	Electric Meter	EM1(ALL)	INTERIOR	1	0.130	0.130	0.130	0.130	0.130	0.130
	Electric Meter	EM1(ALL)	EXTERIOR	1	0.578	0.578	0.579	570	0 570	0.578





LPDs in Proposed design set by Common Area Lighting parametric run.

WORKFLOW EFFICIENCY Interactive Measure Runs

Wizard Mode - EEM Run Wizard (eQuest):

eQUEST Energy Efficiency Measures (EEM) Wiz	zard			?	×
EEM Run Information					
Select Measure to View/Edit:		EEM Run Name:	Window Envelope 1 EEM		
Window Envelope 1 EEM Window Envelope 2 EEM		Measure Category:	Building Envelope		
Roof Insul EEM Wall Insulation EEM	↑ c	Component to Adjust:	Bldg Envelope & Loads 1	•	
Air Infiltration EEM		Measure Type:	Window Glass Type	•	
4	4				
		EEM Run Summary:			
Create Run Delete Run		*** Press 'EEM F *** to desi	Run Details' button *** cribe measure ***	^	
Baseline Run Name Baseline Design				~	
Project & Baseline Run LCC Data		EEM Run Details	s EEM Run LCC Data	a	
			Help 🕐	<u>F</u> inish	*





WORKFLOW EFFICIENCY Standardize Inputs

Global Parameters (eQuest):

al Par	ParametersParameter NameParameter TypeParameter Va1LPD_UnitNumeric0.70002LPD_CorridorNumeric0.50003LPD_StairsNumeric0.60004LPD_StorageNumeric0.80005LPD_MultipurposeNumeric1.30006LPD_MechNumeric1.50007LPD_LobbyNumeric1.30008LPD_RestroomNumeric0.90009LPD_OfficeNumeric1.100010LPD_RetailNumeric1.7000								
	Parameter Name	Parameter Type	Parameter Value						
1	LPD_Unit	Numeric	0.7000						
2	LPD_Corridor	Numeric	0.5000						
3	LPD_Stairs	Numeric	0.6000						
4	LPD_Storage	Numeric	0.8000						
5	LPD_Multipurpose	Numeric	1.3000						
6	LPD_Mech	Numeric	1.5000						
7	LPD_Lobby	Numeric	1.3000						
8	LPD_Restroom	Numeric	0.9000						
9	LPD_Office	Numeric	1.1000						
10	LPD_Garage	Numeric	0.2000						
11	LPD_Retail	Numeric	1.7000						
12	LPD_Gym	Numeric	0.9000						
13	LPD_Lounge	Numeric	1.2000						
14	LPD_Lockers	Numeric	0.6000						
15	LPD_WKSP	Numeric	1.9000	_					





Done

WORKFLOW EFFICIENCY Standardize Inputs

Libraries (REM/Rate):

Create library of components (wall, roof, windows, heating systems, etc.) for baseline code requirements and typical proposed measures.

Above-Grade Wall Type Library			\Box \times
Component	U-Val	State	~
🔒 OmniBlock 12 CMU	0.059		
R-20 IECC	0.057		
B23 BIB = 24oc	0.058		
ICF 2" foam int/ext	0.033		
R-19+3116"	0.051		
2x8-16"-R-30 batt	0.034		
2014 OEESC-AG Wall	0.055		
B 7 common	0.094		~
			Up
		y Paste	Down
Input Mode: Quick Fill Site Built Wall Type Name: 2014 OEESC- Wall Construction: Standard wood Continuous Insulation R-Value: Frame Cavity Insulation R-Value: Cavity Insulation Thickness (in): Cavity Insulation Grade: Block Cavity Insulation R-Value: Gypsum Thickness (in): Note: R21,G3,2x6,16"oc, R3.8/in,	C Poth Lay AG Wall 0.0 Stur 21.0 Stur 5.5 Stur 1 ▼ Fra 0.0 0.500	er d Spacing (in oc): d Width (in): d Depth (in): ming Factor: Use Default	16.0 1.5 5.5 0.1800
ОК	Cancel	Hel	p





MODEL QA

Gain thorough knowledge of your simulation tool. This will take time!

Understand technologies and components being modeled

Establish internal model QA process. Use estimation techniques to verify results Allow sufficient time for model validation & troubleshooting

Submit a quality model for Technical Review.





Evaluate General Quality of Simulation:

Number of hours outside of throttling range

REP	ORT-BEPS	Building	Energy Pe	rformance						WE	ATHER FIL	E- SYRACU	SE, NY	
		LIGHTS	TASK LIGHTS	MISC EQUIP	S PACE HE ATING	SPACE COOLING	HEAT REJECT	PUMPS & AUX	VE NT FANS	REFRIG DI SPLAY	HT PUMP SUPPLEM	DOMEST HOT WIR	EXT USAGE	TOT AL
EM1	ELECTRIC MBTU	:ITY 193.2	0.0	269.8	0.0	31.4	0.0	25.2	76.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.9	606.5
FM1	NATURAL- MBTU	-GAS 0.0	0.0	36489.0	1294.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	212.5	0.0	37996.1
	MBTU	193.2	0.0	36758.8	1294.5	31.4	0.0	25.2	76.0	0.0	0.0	212.5	10.9	38602.6
MBTU 0.0 0.0 36489.0 1294.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 212.5 0.0 37996 MBTU 193.2 0.0 36758.8 1294.5 31.4 0.0 25.2 76.0 0.0 0.0 212.5 10.9 38602 TOTAL SITE ENERGY 38.602.58 MBTU 1660.1 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 1660.1 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA TOTAL SOURCE ENERGY 39.815.62 MBTU 1712 2 KBTU/SQFT-YR GROSS-AREA 1660.1 KBTU/SQFT-YR NET-AREA PERCENT OF HOURS ANY SYSTEM ZONE OUTSIDE OF THROTTLING RANGE = 7.77 PERCENT OF HOURS ANY SYSTEM ZONE OUTSIDE OF THROTTLING RANGE = 7.77 PERCENT OF HOURS ANY PLANT LOAD NOT SATISFIED 4 0.00 HOURS ANY ZONE ABOVE COOLING THROTTLING RANGE 1 1 HOURS ANY ZONE BELOW HEATING THROTTLING RANGE 423 NOTE: ENERGY IS APPORTIONED HOURLY TO ALL END-USE CATEGORIES.														
L	TECON	nity Services	Q	TR Results you cal	C rely on			Per A this J	SHRAE percen 30	: 90.1 – t must 0 hours	2010 A not exc per ye	Append eed 3.4 ar.	ix G, I, or	

Evaluate General Quality of Simulation:

Correct/prevent hours outside of throttling range

REPORT- SS-R Zone	Per formar	ice Summar	y for	ELl Sysl (P	MZS)	(B.C4)						WRA'	THER F	IL E- 3	New Yor	k Cit	YNY T	MY2
ZONE	ZONE OF MAXIMUM HTG DMND (HOURS)	ZONE OF MAXIMUM CLG DMIND (HOURS)	ZONE UNDER HEATED (HOURS)	ZONE UNDER COOLED (HOURS)		00 10	- N 10 20	uuber 20 30	of l	nours 30 40	with 40 50	in ead 50 60	n PART 60 70	LOAD 70 80	range 80 90	 90 100	100 +	TO TAL RUN HOURS
ELl Core Zn (B.C4)) 0	 0		 0		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4631	4631
TO TAL 0 0 181 0 Use the two columns circled to identify which zones in your model are under-heated																		
									and	d/or	un	der-c	coole	ed.				





Evaluate General Quality of Simulation:

Use the "SS-F Zone Demand Summary" report to check during which time of year the unmet loads occur.

REPORT -	SS-F Zone Deman	-F Zone Demand Summary for AptJ_zn7			WEATHER FILE- New York CityNY TMY2				
	D E M A N D	S	-ваѕевоа	. R D S	TEMPERAT	URES	LOADS 1	NOT MET	
MONTH	HEAT EXTRACTION ENERGY (MBTU)	HEAT ADDITION ENERGY (MBTU)	BA SEBOARD ENERGY (MBTU)	MAXIMUM BASE BOARD IOAD (KBT U/HR)	MAX IMUM ZONE TEMP (F)	MIN IMUM ZONE TEMP (F)	HOURS UNDER HEATED	HOU RS UND ER COOL ED	
JAN	0.00000	-4.119	0.00000	0.000	74.4	69.8	1	o	
FEB	0.0000	-2.964	0.00000	0.000	76.8	69.9	0	c	
MAR	0.04637	-2.180	0.00000	0.000	79.8	70.0	0	c	
APR	0.09402	-0.933	0.00000	0.000	79.9	70.0	0	c	
MAY	0.60151	-0.088	0.00000	0.000	80.1	70.1	0	c	
JUN	1.47067	0.000	0.00000	0.000	80.1	72.6	0	(
JUL	2.22731	0.000	0.00000	0.000	80.2	75.9	0	4	
AUG	1.78297	0.000	0.00000	0.000	80.1	74.4	0	(
SEP	1.14602	-0.007	0.00000	0.000	80.1	70.2	0	(
OCT	0.17378	-0.350	0.00000	0.000	80.0	70.1	0	(
VOV	0.0000	-1.621	0.00000	0.000	77.0	70.0	0	(
DEC	0.00074	-3.040	0.00000	0.000	78.3	69.9	0	(
g and Comn	nunity Services	- Results you ca	an rely on						

Evaluate General Quality of Simulation:

Check the square footage









Understand technologies and components being modeled

Establish internal model QA process. Use estimation techniques to verify results Allow sufficient time for model validation & troubleshooting

Submit a quality model for Technical Review.





[OR-MEP] The proposed in-unit lighting savings is higher than what we would expect for a multifamily building. Please investigate possible causes of this discrepancy.

How to Proceed:

Verify Measure Savir	ngs		Your
Verify affected end uses	Special Cases Presen	t? OR-MEP Support	Response
Verify savings profile Verify magnitude of savings	your building is out of line with the average building in the pipeline	Contact OR-MEP for assistance AFTER you've completed your investigation	





Review Affected End Use(s):

Evaluate affected end uses and savings (annual energy by end use report)



Example: Common Area Lighting (in the screenshot gray bar) reduces lighting and cooling and increases heating consumption relative to the Baseline run (blue bar). Fan and power pump is usually also affected, but to a smaller degree.

Verify Savings Profile*:

Measure Type	Heating kWh Savings	Cooling kWh Savings	Non-Heating / Cooling kWh Savings	
Insulation – Walls	Positive	Positive	-	
Insulation – Roof/Attic	Positive	Positive	-	
Windows	Positive	Positive	-	
Refrigerators - ENERGY STAR	rigerators - ERGY STAR		Positive	
Dishwashers – ENERGY STAR	rashers – GY STAR Negative		Positive	
Washing Machines – ENERGY STAR	Negative	Positive	Positive	
Lighting – LED	Negative	Positive	Positive	
PTHP / DHP	Positive	Positive	-	
Ventilation Fans	-	-	Positive	

*For electric-heated apartment building

Does installing higher efficiency lighting:

Save heating kWh? NO! Save cooling kWh? YES! Save non-heating/cooling kWh? YES!

Develop Simple Estimation Techniques:

You have an in-unit lighting measure. Calculate the total non-heating/cooling kWh savings from the watt reduction between the baseline and proposed multiplied by the SF and hours/day schedule.

Baseline LPD: 0.7 W/SF

Proposed LPD: 0.65 W/SF

Apartment Runtimes: 2.34 Hrs/Day

Total Sq Ft of Apartments = 7,372

(0.7 w/sf – 0.65 w/sf) * 7,372 Sqft * 2.34 hrs/day * 365 days/yr ÷ 1000W/kW = <u>314.8 kWh/yr [Non-Heating/Cooling] savings</u>









Non-heating/cooling kWh will decrease by 314.8 kWh/yr.

Cooling kWh will decrease due to lower internal heat gain. Typical Cooling kWh savings is 15-30% of Non-Cooling kWh savings.

Heating kWH will increase because the lower internal heat gain has to be compensated for by the space heating system.





Verify Magnitude of Savings:

Looking at the distribution of electric % savings among measures is another way to review model results.

PROJECT TYPE			BASELINE ENERGY CONSUMPTION						\sim	
New Construction			Baseline Type		Annual kWh	Annual Therms				
			Code Baseline		491,905	6,576				
	Measure Name	Estimated Install Cost	Owner or Tenant Savings?	Measure Type	Measure Sub-Type	Estimate Energy S	d Annual Savings	Include in Scope?	% Electric Savings	Annual Cost Savings
PROP	POSED ENERGY SAVINGS MEASURES					kWh	Therm			\$
1	Wall Insulation (R-30)	\$170,072	Tenant	Envelope	Wall Insulation	31,114	2	Yes	6.3%	\$3,406
2	Windows (U-0.23; SHGC-0.29)	\$20,458	Tenant	Envelope	Windows	22,974	0	Yes	4.7%	\$2,513
3	Door (R-5)	\$3,360	Tenant	Envelope	Other	4,246	0	Yes	0.9%	\$465
4	Attic/Roof Insulation (R-60)	\$3,478	Tenant	Envelope	Attic Insulation	8,946	0	Yes	1.8%	\$979
5	Reduced Infiltration (3.5 ACH50)	\$27,722	Tenant	Envelope	Other	34,496	-2	Yes	7.0%	\$3,772
6	VRF Heat Pumps	\$74,531	Tenant	HVAC	Ductless Heat Pump (mini-split)	22,974	0	Yes	4.7%	\$2,513
7	Energy Recovery Ventilation	\$105,625	Tenant	HVAC	Other	12,488	0	Yes	2.5%	\$1,366
8	ENERGY STAR Refrigerators	\$10,800	Tenant	Appliance	Refrigerator	5,594	0	Yes	1.1%	\$612
9	ENERGY STAR Dishwashers	\$12,000	Tenant	Appliance	Dishwasher	6,912	148	Yes	1.4%	\$929
10	LED Interior Lighting	\$34,364	Tenant	Lighting	In-Unit Lighting (LED)	58,867	0	Yes	12.0%	\$6,440
TOTAL \$462,410						208,611	148		42.4%	\$22,995





[OR-MEP] The proposed in-unit lighting savings is higher than what we would expect for a multifamily building. Please investigate possible causes of this discrepancy.

How to Proceed:







Special Cases:

Very Small Apartments <400 sf	•	Baseline and proposed appliance and DHW end uses will be higher than average (more appliances and low flow fixtures per sf)
	•	Appliances and DHW contribution to overall savings percentage may be higher than average Baseline and proposed heating and cooling could be affected due to higher allowances for apartment ventilation per sf







OR-MEP Support

• Contact OR-MEP for further assistance on your project.

Modeling Software Tutorials and Reference

• eQUEST, REM/Rate

Online Resources

- Department of Energy: <u>https://www.energy.gov/eere/buildings/building-energy-</u> modeling-0
- ENERGY STAR Multifamily (Quality Control Checklist): https://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=bldrs_lenders_raters.nh_mfhr_guidance_





QUESTION BREAK

THANK YOU FOR ATTENDING

Oregon Housing and Community Services Multifamily Energy Program

www.oregonmultifamilyenergy.com

Mekha Abraham

mabraham@trcsolutions.com



